

## **Leading education and social research**

Institute of Education University of London



# Predicted Unintended Consequences of the Research Excellence Framework

Peter Scott
Professor of Higher Education Studies
p.scott@ioe.ac.uk

www.ioe.ac.uk



Centre for Higher Education Studies



# The Rumsfeld legacy

- ☐ Known-knowns
- ☐ Known-unknowns
- □ Unknown-unknowns



### Known-unknowns

- > RAE to REF the 'same difference'?
- > Interdisciplinary and applied research
- > Impact of measurement (playing the 'game')
- > Institutional strategies: income v. reputation



### RAE to REF

- ✓ Tweaking the RAE / REF 'step change'
- ✓ Winners and losers same or different?
- √ 'Mode 1' science >>> 'Mode 2' innovation



## Interdisciplinary / applied research

- ✓ RAE definition of 'research' comprehensive
- ✓ REF 'impact' wider still?

#### **BUT**

- □ Conservatism of panels
- ☐ Presumptions made by institutions



## Impact of measurement

#### **POSITIVE EFFECTS**

- ✓ Higher quality
- ✓ Increased productivity
- √ 'Nowhere to hide'

#### **NEGATIVE EFFECTS**

- □ Premature publication
- ☐ Institutional 'game playing'



## Institutional strategies

- 'Top' universities: funding and reputation both essential
- 'Post-1992' universities: reputation before funding
- 'Squeezed middle': sacrificing reputation to maintain funding?



### Unknown-unknowns

- 1. Interaction between research (QR) funding and new teaching funding regime (high fees)
- 2. Research assessment as tool to differentiate (stratify?) HEIs
- 3. The impact agenda: measuring (and rewarding) innovation
- 4. Simplifying research assessment = fewer unintended consequences