Social care for adults with an autistic spectrum condition: Barriers and opportunities



Richard Mills October 2010



Autism

- Diverse, heterogeneous population often complex
- Differing causes, presentation and outcome
- Core features consistent over time largely resistant to intervention
- Frequently misunderstood stereotyped images
- Changing definition and prevalence
- From early childhood but may present for the first time as adults
- Impact of co occurring problems common significant and often 'treatable'

Services

- Fragmented: Lack of expertise not 'autism friendly'
- Expensive out of area placements short termism- default option
- Problems with access and eligibility- often hard for autistic individuals to fit in to generic provision e.g. the importance of sensory difficulties – structure and predictability not recognised
- Particular problems for women, minority groups and people who are ageing
- Poor evidence base for interventions and services

Social care to promote

Successful transitions	Major area of difficulty- 'fall through cracks'
Living well in the community	High levels of social isolation or in residential care
Good health and wellbeing	Vulnerability to adverse physical and mental health problems- restricted leisure opportunities
Suitable employment or meaningful occupation	Low level of employment (12 pc) - rarely commensurate with educational background. Often excluded from day services
Choice and control	Few achieve independence as adults- 40pc live with parents
Personal development and relationships	Experience bullying, hostility, fewer friendships, few marry, socially isolated.

Barriers represented by:-

the condition itself

reluctance to engage, interpersonal behaviour, overlapping conditions e.g. sensory differences, anxiety, OCD, ADHD, other mental health problems etc

a lack of recognition of the condition

low awareness and expertise, misdiagnosis, poor -simplistic assessment (e.g. face value), inadequate staff training, low expectations and lack of respect, punitive attitudes.

the way services are organised

 discriminatory or postcode related eligibility criteria, crisis driven responses, interagency buck passing, unstructured chaotic facilities- dogmatic application of service led solutions e.g. PCP based on rigid values

What seems to help?

- Local expertise- specialist teams –personalised approaches and services linked to diagnosis- specialised employment agancies
- Environmental modification low arousal approaches
- Focus on structure and predictability- reduction of anxiety
- Play to strengths and build self esteem
- Understanding and respect for the 'culture' of autism and the autistic mind; well trained and supported staff
- Engagement of autistic individuals and families in design and planning

Howlin et al 2002; Mesibov 200; RCP 2004; Mills and Francis 2010

Opportunities

- Autism Act 2009 Statutory guidance
- Development of local expertise single pathway to support
- Emphasis on training
- Engagement of individuals with autism and their families in designing and planning e.g. mentor programmes
- Research of efficacy of interventions
- NICE but not yet!
- Build on what works- avoid what doesn't

Case study

Nigel 48

Thank You



Contact – <u>Richard.Mills@nas.org.uk</u>

